UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,909	09/30/2003	George Duncan Pearson	1021-005US02	2080
28863 7590 02/26/2008 SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P. A. 1625 RADIO DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			TARAE, CATHERINE MICHELLE	
SUITE 300 WOODBURY,	MN 55125		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/26/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@ssiplaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/675,909	PEARSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	C. Michelle Tarae	3623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) □ 3     Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice under the second	This action is non-final.  wance except for formal mat	-	
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicat  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Exam  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ 1	drawn from consideration.  nd/or election requirement.  niner.	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of:  2. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority documen	nents have been received. Tents have been received in A Poriority documents have beer Treau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/15/04, 3/26/04, 11/23/04, 4/6/05, 1	Paper No( 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,909 Page 2

Art Unit: 3623

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The following is a Non-Final Office Action in response to the communication received on September 30, 2003. Claims 1-20 are now pending in this application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Elkin et al. (U.S. 2007/0179828).

As per claim 1, Elkin et al. discloses a system comprising:

an application server to execute an enterprise planning session for a set of enterprise contributors in accordance with an enterprise model, wherein the enterprise model defines hierarchically arranged nodes and associates the enterprise contributors with the nodes (paragraphs 13, 15, 40; Figure 3; An enterprise model application allows users to define enterprise models in a hierarchical fashion.); and

an administration console that supports node-level modification of the enterprise planning model without preventing execution of the enterprise planning session by the

application server (paragraph 13; Users may edit the enterprise model without affecting current enterprise operations.).

Page 3

As per claim 2, Elkin et al. discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the administration console receives updated model information from the analyst, and updates the enterprise planning model based on the updated model information (paragraphs 146 and 196; An updated process model may be overlaid on the existing process model in real-time.).

As per claim 3, Elkin et al. discloses the system of claim 2, wherein the administration console modifies business logic software modules in response to the updated model information (paragraphs 196-198).

As per claim 4, Elkin et al. discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the application server receives and processes contribution data from enterprise contributors associated with the nodes of the model (paragraphs 198 and 200; Contribution data, or task data, are associated with enterprise contributors, or roles.).

As per claim 5, Elkin et al. discloses the system of claim 2, wherein the administration console reconciles the contribution data by defining reconciliation jobs for execution by the application server to reconcile the contribution data with the updated model information (paragraphs 197-198; When the updated enterprise model is installed, the run-time system interprets the data and reacts accordingly.).

As per claim 6, Elkin et al. discloses the system of claim 2, wherein the administration console reconciles the contribution data by defining reconciliation jobs for execution by remote computers of the enterprise contributors to reconcile the previously

received contribution data with the updated model information (paragraphs 197-198; When the updated enterprise model is installed, the run-time system interprets the data and reacts accordingly by assigning tasks to roles that can handle the tasks.).

The method and computer-readable medium claims 7-20 recite limitations similar to the system claims 1-6 already rejected above. Therefore, claims 7-20 are rejected on the same basis as claims 1-6 above.

## Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - Myrick et al. (U.S. 2004/0143470) discusses modeling business information technology;
  - Radhakrishnan (U.S. 2004/0045014) discusses a strategic technology architecture roadmap;
  - Sanches (U.S. 2003/0018510) discusses enterprise action management;
  - Adler (U.S. 2002/0169658) discusses modeling business decisions;
  - Sanders (U.S. 6,411,936) discusses enterprise value enhancement.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Michelle Tarae whose telephone number is 571-272-

Application/Control Number: 10/675,909 Page 5

Art Unit: 3623

6727. The examiner can normally be reached Monday – Friday from 8:30am to

5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tariq Hafiz, can be reached at 571-272-6729.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/C. Michelle Tarae/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3623

February 15, 2008